

F PO's code	Bharya, Talati PO's name (please print)	A B C Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
----------------	--	------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Presiding Evaluation

DIRECTIONS: Evaluate the Presiding Officer using the judging criteria below and award a score from 4 to 12. Higher scores are better. You should write as many comments as possible **during the session** to identify what s/he did well and how s/he can improve. Scores below 7 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating the Presiding Officer:

- Has the PO called on speakers in a manner that was fair and consistent?
- Has the PO used procedure correctly, and in a way that makes the chamber run smoothly?
- Has the PO kept control of the chamber, but without becoming autocratic or tyrannical?
- Has the PO conducted herself/himself with decorum and dignity?

USE THE SPACE BELOW FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

Good application of procedure.
 Fair application of rules; good rotation among reps.
 Excellent decorum.
 Very friendly approach.

PO's SCORE: 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Zachary Druitt Official's name (please print)	Mark * if Parliamentarian → <input type="checkbox"/>
Newsome Official's school	

J Speaker's code	Amanda Salgado Speaker's name (please print)	A B C D Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---------------------	---	-------------------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK × IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:

- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
- **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
- **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
- **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Immigration Topic or B/R number	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Aff Position	Neg	Auth	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	------------------------------------	--	-----	------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

SpeakUp. Good points about problems with children representing themselves in court (language, experience, etc.).

SPEECH #2	Legacy Admissions Topic or B/R number	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Aff Position	Neg	Auth	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	--	--	-----	------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Can you lower notebook away from face. Good points about discrimination. Good writing. Speak more loudly.

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Aff Position	Neg	Auth	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	-----------------	-----	------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome

K Speaker's code	Denn Escobedo Speaker's name (please print)	A B C ___ Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---	--	--	--

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation **MARK × IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →**

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

- JUDGING CRITERIA:** Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:
- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
 - **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
 - **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
 - **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Legacy Admissions <small>Topic or B/R number</small>	Aff Neg Auth <small>Position</small>	1 2 3 4 5 6 <small>Score - circle only one</small>
------------------	---	---	---

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good Abigail Adams quote. Good arguments about discrimin. of class and race/ethnicity. Very good writing + good delivery. Good questioning session. Smart!

SPEECH #2	<small>Topic or B/R number</small>	Aff Neg Auth <small>Position</small>	1 2 3 4 5 6 <small>Score - circle only one</small>
------------------	------------------------------------	---	---

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

SPEECH #3	<small>Topic or B/R number</small>	Aff Neg Auth <small>Position</small>	1 2 3 4 5 6 <small>Score - circle only one</small>
------------------	------------------------------------	---	---

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)
Scorer's school

L Speaker's code	Somakanta, Satya Speaker's name (please print)	A B C Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---------------------	---	------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK × IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:

- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
- **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
- **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
- **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Immigration Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good use of real example. Clear speaking. Good argument; easy to follow. Your position was clear + logical.

SPEECH #2	Legacy Admissions Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	--	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Legacy admission preferences disadvantage students. Good writing, excellent points about purpose. The bill fines colleges for legacy admissions. Good answers to tough questions.

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome

P

Speaker's code

Sarkar, Dishita

Speaker's name (please print)

A B C
Chamber

1 2 3 4
Session #

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:

- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
- **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
- **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
- **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	<u>Legacy Admissions</u> Topic or B/R number	Aff	<u>Neg</u>	Auth	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	6
		Position			Score - circle only one					

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good argument. Excellent writing. Compelling. Strong presentation of position. Pronounce: 'b-lum-ni.'
Avoid 'pot of gold' reference.

SPEECH #2	Topic or B/R number	Aff	Neg	Auth	1	2	3	4	5	6
		Position			Score - circle only one					

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Aff	Neg	Auth	1	2	3	4	5	6
		Position			Score - circle only one					

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)

Scorer's school

R Speaker's code	Hwang, Audrey Speaker's name (please print)	A B C ___ Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---------------------	--	----------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK × IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:

- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
- **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
- **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
- **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Immigration Topic or B/R number	Aff Position	Neg	Auth	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	-----	------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good citations, good arguments. Consider slowing speaking speed. I liked the emphasis of cost-benefits of supporting immigrants.

SPEECH #2	Legacy Admissions Topic or B/R number	Aff Position	Neg	Auth	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	--	-----------------	-----	------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

"Familial inheritance." Very good writing. "Based on racism." Very strong → "checkbooks and family ties" I LOVE your use of language. Good delivery

SPEECH #3	Red-40 Topic or B/R number	Aff Position	Neg	Auth	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------	-----	------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

"Deadly eye candy." You are such a clever writer. Please slow down speaking rate, a lot. Write less

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome

Speaker's code R	Speaker's name (please print) Saket Rao	Chamber A B C ___	Session # 1 2 3 4
----------------------------	---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK × IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:

- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
- **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
- **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
- **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Topic or B/R number Immigration	Position Aff Neg Auth	Score - circle only one 1 2 3 4 5 6
------------------	---	---------------------------------	---

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good writing; clear position. I liked your points around fairness. Good references. Not sure racial discrimination is related to Sill (tangentially it is, of course).

SPEECH #2	Topic or B/R number Legacy Admissions	Position Aff Neg Auth	Score - circle only one 1 2 3 4 5 6
------------------	---	---------------------------------	---

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

"Not a meritocracy but a aristocracy!" Public money argument. Imbalance is a good term. Good answers. How address financial issues?

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Position Aff Neg Auth	Score - circle only one 1 2 3 4 5 6
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome

2 Speaker's code	Xu, Harry Speaker's name (please print)	A B C D Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---------------------	--	-------------------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation MARK IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

- JUDGING CRITERIA:** Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:
- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
 - **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
 - **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
 - **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Immigration Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good volume; good use of language + framing of national ideals. Excellent explanation of vulnerabilities of the immigrant children and the legislation's potential to protect them. Good use of triplet at end. (We should, we...). Tough questions, good job.

SPEECH #2	Legacy Admissions Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	--	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Very good explanation of financial consequences of the bill. Boost spirit + enthusiasm. Reliable source of revenue. Very good ~~for~~ answers to questions.

SPEECH #3	Red Up Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

"No confirmed health effects," Cleveland Clinic
FDA, EV, WHO + more agree. Great job!
"Beet root!!" Do we have the farmland?!

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome

5	Chloe B. Hout	A B C — Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---	---------------	--------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation MARK × IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

- JUDGING CRITERIA:** Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:
- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
 - **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
 - **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
 - **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Legacy Admissions	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good opening analogy. Explanations supported with cited evidence. Discrimination is a fair point. Your arguments' logic and rationality need work.

SPEECH #2	Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	

W Speaker's code	Gavin Schmid Speaker's name (please print)	A B C <u> </u> Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
---------------------	---	-----------------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK X IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

JUDGING CRITERIA: Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:

- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
- **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
- **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
- **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Immigration Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Law is hard. How can children defend themselves in court? Good point.
Good job answering questions.

SPEECH #2	Legacy Admissions Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	--	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good points about lack of details of bill and impacts to colleges (difficulties). How to tell qualified legacies?
Good volume + speech ~~volume~~ speed. "Not enforceable"
"Cannot be implemented." Good job answering questions

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome

AA Speaker's code	Thomas Gross Speaker's name (please print)	A B C <u> </u> Chamber	1 2 3 4 Session #
----------------------	---	----------------------------	----------------------

FGCCFL Student Congress Speaker Evaluation

MARK X IF ADDITIONAL BALLOT →

DIRECTIONS: Use this ballot for up to three speeches by the same student. If the same student speaks a fourth time, start an additional ballot for her/him. **DO NOT SKIP SPACES OR WRITE BALLOTS FOR STUDENTS WHO DO NOT SPEAK.**

Evaluate each speech on its own merit using the judging criteria below and award a score from 1 to 6. **Higher scores are better.** You should write as many comments as possible **while the student is speaking** to identify what was done well and how she/he/they can improve. Scores below 3 **must** be justified with comments on the ballot.

- JUDGING CRITERIA:** Please consider the following when evaluating a speech:
- **Delivery:** Is the speech clear? Is it persuasive? Does the speaker demonstrate poise?
 - **Originality of Thought:** Does the speaker demonstrate that s/he has listened to others in the debate? Does s/he respond to issues raised in the debate? Does the speech advance debate?
 - **Organization and Coherence:** Does the speech ramble, or does it develop? Is the speech easy to follow? Are the speaker's purpose and/or focus clear?
 - **Evidence and Logical Basis:** How many sources are referenced? Are the sources credible? Does the speaker use logic in the development of arguments?

SPEECH #1	Legacy Admissions Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u>	1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	--	--	---

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Good explanation. Present with more energy + enthusiasm.
I like your approach. "I like the idea, but not the bill."

SPEECH #2	Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

SPEECH #3	Topic or B/R number	Aff Neg Auth Position	1 2 3 4 5 6 Score - circle only one
------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	--

Comments (continue on back if necessary)

Additional comments (questioning, procedure, decorum, etc.)

Scorer's name (please print)	Zachary Pruitt
Scorer's school	Newsome